

Cc: Card, Bethany (DEP)[bethany.card@state.ma.us]; Webster, David[Webster.David@epa.gov]; Pine Dubois[pine@jonesriver.org]; Mary Lampert[mary.lampert@comcast.net]; Karen Vale[karen@capecodbaywatch.org]; Genevieve Byrne[gebyrne@gmail.com]; Anne Bingham[annebinghamlaw@comcast.net]; Mary Woollen[Mary.Woollen@nrc.gov]; Ken Kimmell[ken.kimmell@state.ma.us]; Rick Sullivan[rick.sullivan@state.ma.us]; Bill Maurer[wmmaurer@comcast.net]
To: Condon, Suzanne (DPH)[Suzanne.Condon@state.ma.us]
From: Meg Sheehan
Sent: Wed 1/29/2014 4:40:09 PM
Subject: Re: Pilgrim Nuclear: Tritium Leaks and Use of NSDL

Suzanne,
Here's a link to Mr. Noyes describing how they rerouted the NSDL "discharge pathway".

Dave/Beth/Ken,

Are NPDES permittees allowed to unilaterally "reroute" their "discharge pathways"? Or does this require EPA permission and an NPDES permit amendment for the new discharge point?

Sure seems to me that Entergy tried to cover this up in the DMRs.

Please explain.

Thanks.
Meg Sheehan

[http://manometcurrent.com/video-plymouth-nuclear-matters-committee-meeting-january-27-2014/?utm_source=feedburner&utm_medium=feed&utm_campaign=Feed%3A+TheManometCurrent+\(The+Manomet+Current\)](http://manometcurrent.com/video-plymouth-nuclear-matters-committee-meeting-january-27-2014/?utm_source=feedburner&utm_medium=feed&utm_campaign=Feed%3A+TheManometCurrent+(The+Manomet+Current))

Hi Meg-I think I see the confusion over Mr. Noyes remarks. He is correct in saying that after the broken Neutralizing Sump Discharge Line (NSDL) was discovered in April 2013, Entergy "had ceased all discharges for this and rerouted to an above ground collection box". Here he is referencing that line and not speaking about the NSDL CATCH BASIN. Again when he says they "have ceased all discharges from that pathway" I believe he is talking about the line itself. As we understand it Entergy used a temporary line to the catch basin in December bypassing the area of the break discovered in April.

Hope this helps. Let me know if any other Qs.